Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	09/614,660	KIROVSKI ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Christopher A. Revak	2131
All Participants: Status of Application: response after final		
(1) Christopher A. Revak.	(3)	
(2) Kasey Christie.	(4)	
Date of Interview: <u>14 September 2005</u>	Time: <u>1:30 pm</u>	
Type of Interview:  ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)  Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description:		
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed: 35 USC 101		
Claims discussed: 1,9,47,51		
Prior art documents discussed:		
Part II.		
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:  See Continuation Sheet		
Part III.		
<ul> <li>☑ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate redirectly resulted in the allowance of the application. The of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.</li> <li>☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate redid not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary</li> </ul>	e examiner will provide a writte ecord of the substance of the	en summary of the substance interview, since the interview
(P) 9/14/25		
(Examiner/SPE Signature) (Applicant	'Applicant's Representative Si	gnature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: The examiner contacted the applicant's representative in an attempt to compact prosecution by correcting claims that recited of non-statutory subject matter. The applicant's representative agreed to an examiner's amendment so that the application would be allowed..